

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,573		07/05/2001	Pietro Erratico	99CA39653292	99CA39653292 1615	
27975	7590	06/09/2004		EXAM	INER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. MONDT, JOHANNES P 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE						
P.O. BOX 37		ER 255 SOUTH OF	RANGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORI ANDO	FI 328	02-3791	•	2026		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/899,573	ERRATICO, PIETRO)				
, .a.,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Johannes P Mondt	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Recommendation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a)							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO ⁻	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 12-26.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	Í				
0. ☐ Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the proposed amendment filed 5/20/04 appeared to overcome the prior art as cited in the Final Office Action mailed 2/27/04 at the time of the telephonic interviews prior art over which claims may be rejected has come to light: in particular, see Kobayashi et al (5,476,809) and related art. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues requiring further search and /or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) as presented in the Final Office Action have not been persuasively traversed..